

Non-Zero Component Graph of a Finite Dimensional Vector Space

Angsuman Das*

*Department of Mathematics,
St.Xavier's College, Kolkata, India.
angsumandas@sxccal.edu*

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a graph structure, called non-zero component graph on finite dimensional vector spaces. We show that the graph is connected and find its domination number and independence number. We also study the inter-relationship between vector space isomorphisms and graph isomorphisms and it is shown that two graphs are isomorphic if and only if the corresponding vector spaces are so. Finally, we determine the degree of each vertex in case the base field is finite.

Keywords: basis, independent set, graph

2000 MSC: 05C25, 05C69

1. Introduction

The study of graph theory, apart from its combinatorial implications, also lends to characterization of various algebraic structures. The benefit of studying these graphs is that one may find some results about the algebraic structures and vice versa. There are three major problems in this area: (1) characterization of the resulting graphs, (2) characterization of the algebraic structures with isomorphic graphs, and (3) realization of the connections between the structures and the corresponding graphs.

The first instance of such work is due to Beck [5] who introduced the idea of zero divisor graph of a commutative ring with unity. Though his key goal was to address the issue of colouring, this initiated the formal study of exposing the relationship between algebra and graph theory and at advancing applications of one to the other. Till then, a lot of research, e.g., [11, 2, 3, 1, 8, 6, 7, 4] has been done in connecting graph structures to various algebraic objects. Recently, intersection graphs associated with subspaces of vector spaces were studied in [10, 12]. However, as those works were a follow up of intersection graphs, the main linear algebraic flavour of characterizing the graph was missing.

Throughout this paper, vector spaces are finite dimensional over a field $\mathbb{F}$ and $n = \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{V})$. In this paper, we define a graph structure on a finite dimensional vector space $\mathbb{V}$

*Corresponding author

Email address: angsumandas@sxccal.edu (Angsuman Das)

Dedicated to Professor Mridul Kanti Sen

over a field $\mathbb{F}$, called Non-Zero Component Graph of $\mathbb{V}$ with respect to a basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ of $\mathbb{V}$, and study the algebraic characterization of isomorphic graphs and other related concepts.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

In this section, for convenience of the reader and also for later use, we recall some definitions, notations and results concerning elementary graph theory. For undefined terms and concepts the reader is referred to [13].

By a graph $G = (V, E)$, we mean a non-empty set V and a symmetric binary relation (possibly empty) E on V . The set V is called the set of vertices and E is called the set of edges of G . Two element u and v in V are said to be adjacent if $(u, v) \in E$. $H = (W, F)$ is called a *subgraph* of G if H itself is a graph and $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq V$ and $F \subseteq E$. If V is finite, the graph G is said to be finite, otherwise it is infinite. The open neighbourhood of a vertex v , denoted by $N(v)$, is the set of all vertices adjacent to v . A subset I of V is said to be *independent* if any two vertices in that subset are pairwise non-adjacent. The *independence number* of a graph is the maximum size of an independent set of vertices in G . A subset D of V is said to be *dominating set* if any vertex in $V \setminus D$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in D . The *dominating number* of G , denoted by $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum size of a dominating set in G . A subset D of V is said to be a *minimal dominating set* if D is a dominating set and no proper subset of D is a dominating set. Two graphs $G = (V, E)$ and $G' = (V', E')$ are said to be *isomorphic* if $\exists$ a bijection $\phi : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $(u, v) \in E$ iff $(\phi(u), \phi(v)) \in E'$. A *path* of length k in a graph is an alternating sequence of vertices and edges, $v_0, e_0, v_1, e_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, e_{k-1}, v_k$, where v_i 's are distinct (except possibly the first and last vertices) and e_i is the edge joining v_i and v_{i+1} . We call this a path joining v_0 and v_k . A graph is *connected* if for any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$, there exists a path joining u and v . The *distance* between two vertices $u, v \in V$, $d(u, v)$ is defined as the length of the shortest path joining u and v , if it exists. Otherwise, $d(u, v)$ is defined as ∞ . The *diameter* of a graph is defined as $\text{diam}(G) = \max_{u, v \in V} d(u, v)$, the largest distance between pairs of vertices of the graph, if it exists. Otherwise, $\text{diam}(G)$ is defined as ∞ .

3. Non-Zero Component Graph of a Vector Space

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ as a basis and θ as the null vector. Then any vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{V}$ can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of the form $\mathbf{a} = a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n$. We denote this representation of $\mathbf{a}$ as its basic representation w.r.t. $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. We define a graph $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) = (V, E)$ (or simply $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$) with respect to $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ as follows: $V = \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\theta\}$ and for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in V$, $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ or $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in E$ if $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ shares at least one α_i with non-zero coefficient in their basic representation, i.e., there exists at least one α_i along which both $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ have non-zero components. Unless otherwise mentioned, we take the basis on which the graph is constructed as $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\beta)$ be the graphs associated with $\mathbb{V}$ w.r.t two bases $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ of $\mathbb{V}$. Then $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\beta)$ are graph isomorphic.*

Proof: Since, $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ are two bases of $\mathbb{V}$, $\exists$ a vector space isomorphism $T : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ such that $T(\alpha_i) = \beta_i, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

We show that the restriction of T on non-null vectors of $\mathbb{V}$, $\mathbf{T} : \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\beta)$ is a graph isomorphism. Clearly, $\mathbf{T}$ is a bijection. Now, let $\mathbf{a} = a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n$; $\mathbf{b} = b_1\alpha_1 + b_2\alpha_2 + \dots + b_n\alpha_n$ with $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$. Then, $\exists i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_i \neq 0, b_i \neq 0$. Also, $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{a}) = a_1\beta_1 + a_2\beta_2 + \dots + a_n\beta_n$ and $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{b}) = b_1\beta_1 + b_2\beta_2 + \dots + b_n\beta_n$. Since, $a_i \neq 0, b_i \neq 0$, therefore $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{a}) \sim \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{b})$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\beta)$.

Similarly, it can be shown that if $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are not adjacent in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$, then $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{b})$ are not adjacent in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\beta)$. $\square$

Remark 3.1. *The above theorem shows that the graph properties associated of Γ does not depend on the choice of the basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. However, two vertices may be adjacent with respect to one basis but non-adjacent to some other basis as shown in the following example: Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ with two bases $\{\alpha_1 = (1, 0), \alpha_2 = (0, 1)\}$ and $\{\beta_1 = (1, 1), \beta_2 = (-1, 1)\}$. Consider $\mathbf{a} = (1, 1)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (-1, 1)$. Clearly $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$, but $\mathbf{a} \not\sim \mathbf{b}$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\beta)$.*

4. Basic Properties of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$

In this section, we investigate some of the basic properties like connectedness, completeness, independence number, domination number of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$.

Theorem 4.1. *$\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ is connected and $\text{diam}(\Gamma) = 2$.*

Proof: Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in V$. If $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are adjacent, then $d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = 1$. If $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are not adjacent, since $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \neq \theta$, $\exists \alpha_i, \alpha_j$ which have non-zero coefficient in the basic representation of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ respectively. Moreover, as $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are not adjacent, $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$. Consider $\mathbf{c} = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$. Then, $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{c}$ and hence $d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = 2$. Thus, Γ is connected and $\text{diam}(\Gamma) = 2$. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *$\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is complete if and only if $\mathbb{V}$ is one-dimensional.*

Proof: Let $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ be complete. If possible, let $\dim(\mathbb{V}) > 1$. Therefore, $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{V}$ which is a basis or can be extended to a basis of $\mathbb{V}$. Then α_1 and α_2 are two non-adjacent vertices in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 1$.

Conversely, let $\mathbb{V}$ be one-dimensional vector space generated by α . Then any two non-null vectors $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ can be expressed as $c_1\alpha$ and $c_2\alpha$ respectively for non-zero $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ and hence $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$, thereby rendering the graph complete. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *The domination number of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ is 1.*

Proof: The proof follows from the simple observation that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ is adjacent to all the vertices of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$. $\square$

Remark 4.1. *The set $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is a minimal dominating set of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$. Now, the question arises what is the maximum possible number of vertices in a minimal dominating set. The answer is given as n in the next theorem.*

Theorem 4.4. *If $D = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_l\}$ is a minimal dominating set of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$, then $l \leq n$, i.e., the maximum cardinality of a minimal dominating set is n .*

Proof: Since D is a minimal dominating set, $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}, D_i = D \setminus \{\beta_i\}$ is not a dominating set. Therefore, $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}, \exists \gamma_i \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ which is not adjacent to any element of D_i but adjacent to β_i . Since, $\gamma_i \neq \theta, \exists \alpha_{t_i}$ such that γ_i has non-zero component along α_{t_i} .

Now, as γ_i is not adjacent to any element of D_i , so is α_{t_i} . Thus, $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}, \exists \alpha_{t_i}$ such that $\alpha_{t_i} \sim \beta_i$, but $\alpha_{t_i} \not\sim \beta_k, \forall k \neq i$.

Claim: $i \neq j \Rightarrow \alpha_{t_i} \neq \alpha_{t_j}$. Let, if possible, $i \neq j$ but $\alpha_{t_i} = \alpha_{t_j}$. As $\beta_i \sim \alpha_{t_i}$ and $\alpha_{t_i} = \alpha_{t_j}$, therefore $\beta_i \sim \alpha_{t_j}$. However, it contradicts that $\alpha_{t_i} \not\sim \beta_k, \forall k \neq i$. Hence, the claim.

As $\alpha_{t_1}, \alpha_{t_2}, \dots, \alpha_{t_l}$ are all distinct, it follows that $l \leq n$. $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *The independence number of Γ is $\dim(\mathbb{V})$.*

Proof: Since $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an independent set in Γ , the independence number of $\Gamma \geq n = \dim(\mathbb{V})$. Now, we show that any independent set can not have more than n elements. Let, if possible, $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_l\}$ be an independent set in Γ , where $l > n$. Since, $\beta_i \neq \theta, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, β_i has at least one non-zero component along some α_{t_i} , where $t_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Claim: $i \neq j \Rightarrow t_i \neq t_j$.

If $\exists i \neq j$ with $t_i = t_j = t$ (say), then β_i and β_j has non-zero component along α_t . This imply that $\beta_i \sim \beta_j$, a contradiction to the independence of β_i and β_j . Hence, the claim is valid.

However, as there are exactly n distinct α_i 's, $l \leq n$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\Gamma = n = \dim(\mathbb{V})$. $\square$

Lemma 4.1. *Let I be an independent set in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$, then I is a linearly independent subset of $\mathbb{V}$.*

Proof: Let $I = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k\}$ be an independent set in Γ . By Theorem 4.5, $k \leq n$. If possible, let I be linearly dependent in $\mathbb{V}$. Then $\exists i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that β_i can be expressed as a linear combination of $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{i-1}, \beta_{i+1}, \dots, \beta_k$, i.e.,

$$\beta_i = c_1\beta_1 + c_2\beta_2 + \dots + c_{i-1}\beta_{i-1} + c_{i+1}\beta_{i+1} + \dots + c_k\beta_k = \sum_{s=1, s \neq i}^k c_s\beta_s \quad (1)$$

Now, since $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{V}$, let $\beta_j = \sum_{t=1}^n d_{tj}\alpha_t$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, k$. Thus, the expression of β_i becomes

$$\beta_i = \sum_{s=1, s \neq i}^k c_s \sum_{t=1}^n d_{tj}\alpha_t = \sum_{t=1}^n d_t\alpha_t \text{ for some scalars } d_t \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Since, $\beta_i \neq \theta$. Thus, β_i has a non-zero component along some α_{t^*} . Also, $\exists$ some $\beta_j, j \neq i$ such that β_j has a non-zero component along α_{t^*} . (as otherwise, if all $\beta_j, j \neq i$ has zero

component along α_{t^*} , then by Equation 1, β_i has zero component along α_{t^*} , which is not the case.)

Thus, $\beta_j \sim \beta_i$, a contradiction to the independence of I . Thus, I is a linearly independent set in $\mathbb{V}$. $\square$

Remark 4.2. *Converse of Lemma 4.1 is not true, in general. Consider a vector space $\mathbb{V}$, its basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and the set $L = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Clearly L is linearly independent in $\mathbb{V}$, but it is not an independent set in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ as $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \sim \alpha_2$.*

5. Non-Zero Component Graph and Graph Isomorphisms

In this section, we study the inter-relationship between the isomorphism of two vector spaces with the isomorphism of the two corresponding graphs. It is proved that two vector spaces are isomorphic if and only if their graphs are isomorphic. However, it is noted that a vector space isomorphism is a graph isomorphism (ignoring the null vector θ), but a graph isomorphism may not be vector space isomorphism as shown in Example 5.1.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ be two finite dimensional vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$. If $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{W}_\beta)$ are isomorphic as graphs with respect to some basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k\}$ of $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ respectively, then $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = \dim(\mathbb{W})$, i.e., $n = k$.*

Proof: Let $\varphi : \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{W}_\beta)$ be a graph isomorphism. Since, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ is an independent set in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$, therefore $\varphi(\alpha_1), \varphi(\alpha_2), \dots, \varphi(\alpha_n)$ is an independent set in $\Gamma(\mathbb{W}_\beta)$. Now, as in Theorem 4.5 it has been shown that cardinality of an independent set is less than or equal to the dimension of the vector space, it follows that $n \leq k$.

Again, $\varphi^{-1} : \Gamma(\mathbb{W}_\beta) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ is also a graph isomorphism. Then, by similar arguments, it follows that $k \leq n$. Hence the lemma. $\square$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ be two finite dimensional vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$. If $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ are isomorphic as vector spaces, then for any basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ of $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ respectively, $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{W}_\beta)$ are isomorphic as graphs.*

Proof: Let $\varphi : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be a vector space isomorphism. Then $\{\varphi(\alpha_1), \varphi(\alpha_2), \dots, \varphi(\alpha_n)\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{W}$. Consider the restriction $\overline{\varphi}$ of φ on the non-null vectors of $\mathbb{V}$, i.e., $\overline{\varphi} : \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{W}_{\varphi(\alpha)})$ given by

$$\overline{\varphi}(a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n) = a_1\varphi(\alpha_1) + a_2\varphi(\alpha_2) + \dots + a_n\varphi(\alpha_n)$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Clearly, $\overline{\varphi}$ is a bijection. Now, $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \exists i$ such that $a_i \neq 0, b_i \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \overline{\varphi}(\mathbf{a}) \sim \overline{\varphi}(\mathbf{b})$.

Therefore, $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{W}_{\varphi(\alpha)})$ are graph isomorphic. Now, by Theorem 3.1, $\Gamma(\mathbb{W}_{\varphi(\alpha)})$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{W}_\beta)$ are isomorphic as graphs. Thus, $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{W}_\beta)$ are isomorphic as graphs. $\square$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ be two finite dimensional vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$. If for any basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k\}$ of $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ respectively, $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{W}_\beta)$ are isomorphic as graphs, then $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ are isomorphic as vector spaces.*

Theorem 6.2. Let φ be a graph automorphism, which maps $\alpha_i \mapsto c_{ij_i}\alpha_{\sigma(i)}$ for some $\sigma \in S_n$. Then, if $c \neq 0$, $\varphi(c\alpha_i) = d\alpha_{\sigma(i)}$ for some non-zero d . More generally, $\forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ if $c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdots c_k \neq 0$, then

$$\varphi(c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}) = d_1\alpha_{\sigma(i_1)} + d_2\alpha_{\sigma(i_2)} + \cdots + d_k\alpha_{\sigma(i_k)}$$

for some d_i 's with $d_1 \cdot d_2 \cdots d_k \neq 0$.

Proof: Since, $c\alpha_i \sim \alpha_i$, therefore $\varphi(c\alpha_i) \sim \varphi(\alpha_i)$ i.e., $\varphi(c\alpha_i) \sim c_{ij_i}\alpha_{\sigma(i)}$. Thus, $\varphi(c\alpha_i)$ has $\alpha_{\sigma(i)}$ as a non-zero component. If possible, let $\varphi(c\alpha_i)$ has a non-zero component along some other $\alpha_{\sigma(j)}$ for some $j \neq i$. Then $\varphi(c\alpha_i) \sim \alpha_{\sigma(j)}$ i.e., $\varphi(c\alpha_i) \sim \varphi(\alpha_j)$, which in turn implies $c\alpha_i \sim \alpha_j$ for $j \neq i$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\varphi(c\alpha_i) = d\alpha_{\sigma(i)}$ for some non-zero d .

For the general case, since

$$\begin{aligned} c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k} &\sim \alpha_{i_1} \\ \Rightarrow \varphi(c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}) &\sim \varphi(\alpha_{i_1}) = c\alpha_{\sigma(i_1)} \text{ for some non-zero } c \\ \Rightarrow \varphi(c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}) &\text{ has a non-zero component along } \alpha_{\sigma(i_1)} \\ \Rightarrow \varphi(c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}) &\sim \alpha_{\sigma(i_1)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\varphi(c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}) \sim \alpha_{\sigma(i_2)}, \dots, \varphi(c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}) \sim \alpha_{\sigma(i_k)}$$

Therefore, $\varphi(c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}) = d_1\alpha_{\sigma(i_1)} + d_2\alpha_{\sigma(i_2)} + \cdots + d_k\alpha_{\sigma(i_k)}$ for some d_i 's with $d_1 \cdot d_2 \cdots d_k \neq 0$. $\square$

Corollary 6.1. $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ is not vertex transitive if $\dim(\mathbb{V}) > 1$.

Proof: Since $\dim(\mathbb{V}) \geq 2$, by Theorem 6.2, there does not exist any automorphism which maps α_1 to $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Hence, the result. $\square$

7. The Case of Finite Fields

In this section, we find the degree of each vertices of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ if the base field is finite. For more results, in the case of finite fields, please refer to [9].

Remark 7.1. The set of vertices adjacent to $\alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_k}$ is same as the set of vertices adjacent to $c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}$ i.e., $N(\alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_k}) = N(c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k})$ for $c_1c_2 \cdots c_k \neq 0$.

Theorem 7.1. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space over a finite field $\mathbb{F}$ with q elements and Γ be its associated graph with respect to a basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Then, the degree of the vertex $c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}$, where $c_1c_2 \cdots c_k \neq 0$, is $(q^k - 1)q^{n-k} - 1$.

Proof: The number of vertices with α_{i_1} as non-zero component is $(q - 1)q^{n-1}$ (including α_{i_1} itself). Therefore, $\deg(\alpha_{i_1}) = (q - 1)q^{n-1} - 1$.

The number of vertices with α_{i_1} or α_{i_2} as non-zero component is equal to number of vertices with α_{i_1} as non-zero component + number of vertices with α_{i_2} as non-zero component – number of vertices with both α_{i_1} and α_{i_2} as non-zero component

$$= (q-1)q^{n-1} + (q-1)q^{n-1} - (q-1)^2q^{n-2} = (q^2-1)q^{n-2}.$$

As this count includes the vertex $\alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2}$, $\deg(\alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2}) = (q^2-1)q^{n-2} - 1$.

Similarly, for finding the degree of $\alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2} + \alpha_{i_3}$, the number of vertices with α_{i_1} or α_{i_2} or α_{i_3} as non-zero component is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & [(q-1)q^{n-1} + (q-1)q^{n-1} + (q-1)q^{n-1}] - [(q-1)^2q^{n-2} + (q-1)^2q^{n-2} + (q-1)^2q^{n-2}] + (q-1)^3q^{n-3} \\ & = (q^3-1)q^{n-3}, \text{ and hence } \deg(\alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2} + \alpha_{i_3}) = (q^3-1)q^{n-3} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in this way, we get

$$\deg(\alpha_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_k}) = (q^k-1)q^{n-k} - 1.$$

Now, from Remark 7.1, it follows that

$$\deg(c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}) = (q^k-1)q^{n-k} - 1.$$

□

8. Conclusion

In this paper, we represent a finite dimensional vector space as a graph and study various inter-relationships among $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ as a graph and $\mathbb{V}$ as a vector space. The main goal of these discussions was to study the nature of the automorphisms and establish the equivalence between the corresponding graph and vector space isomorphisms. Apart from this, we also study basic properties of completeness, connectedness, domination and independence number. As a topic of further research, one can look into the structure of maximal cliques and chromatic number of such graphs.

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to Bedanta Bose of University of Calcutta, Kolkata for bringing the manuscript in the final form. A special thanks goes to Dr. Usman Ali of Bahauddin Zakariya University, Pakistan for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of the paper. The research is partially funded by NBHM Research Project Grant, (Sanction No. 2/48(10)/2013/ NBHM(R.P.)/R&D II/695), Govt. of India.

References

- [1] A. Amini, B. Amini, E. Momtahan and M. H. Shirdareh Haghighi: *On a Graph of Ideals*, Acta Math. Hungar., 134 (3) (2012), 369-384.
- [2] D.F. Anderson, M. Axtell, J. Stickles: *Zero-divisor graphs in commutative rings*, in Commutative Algebra Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Perspectives, ed. by M. Fontana, S.E. Kabbaj, B.Olberding, I. Swanson (Springer, New York, 2010), pp.23-45
- [3] D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston: *The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring*, Journal of Algebra, 217 (1999), 434-447.
- [4] A. Badawi: *On the Dot Product Graph of a Commutative Ring*, Comm. Algebra 43(1), 43-50 (2015).
- [5] I. Beck: *Coloring of commutative rings*, Journal of Algebra, 116 (1988), 208-226.
- [6] P.J. Cameron, S. Ghosh: *The power graph of a finite group*, Discrete Mathematics 311 (2011) 1220-1222.
- [7] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, T.K. Mukherjee, and M.K. Sen: *Intersection graphs of ideals of rings*, Discrete Mathematics 309, 17 (2009): 5381-5392.
- [8] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, M.K. Sen: *Undirected power graphs of semigroups*, Semi-group Forum 78 (2009) 410-426.
- [9] A. Das: *On Non-Zero Component Graph of Vector Spaces over Finite Fields*, to appear in Journal of Algebra and its Applications. DOI: 10.1142/S0219498817500074
- [10] N. Jafari Rad, S.H. Jafari: *Results on the intersection graphs of subspaces of a vector space*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.0803v1>
- [11] H.R. Maimani, M.R. Pournaki, A. Tehranian, S. Yassemi: *Graphs Attached to Rings Revisited*, Arab J Sci Eng (2011) 36: 997-1011.
- [12] Y. Talebi, M.S. Esmailifar, S. Azizpour: *A kind of intersection graph of vector space*, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography 12, no. 6 (2009): 681-689.
- [13] D.B. West: *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice Hall, 2001.